



PROJECT MUSE®

At the Handles of the Lock: Themes in the Fiction of S. J.

Agnon (review)

Sanford Pinsker

MFS Modern Fiction Studies, Volume 31, Number 4, Winter 1985, pp. 863-864
(Review)

Published by Johns Hopkins University Press

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1353/mfs.0.1183>



➔ *For additional information about this article*

<https://muse.jhu.edu/article/244271>

life, and sharp analyses of her six selections from Dazai's work as penetrating examples of the complexities of that life. Furthermore, there are examinations of other works, including his two major novels. Repetition is part of Japan's cultural cohesiveness, and so it is that at the close of this study the reader feels an intimacy with Dazai, suffers with him, and, yes, believes in the saga of Dazai Osamu.

SANFORD GOLDSTEIN
Purdue University



David Aberbach. *At the Handles of the Lock: Themes in the Fiction of S. J. Agnon*.
Oxford: Oxford UP, 1985. 221 pp. \$29.95.

The Agnon who emerges from Aberbach's thematic study has a familiar, modernist look. He is likened to Eliot, to Lawrence, to Joyce, and, most of all, to Kafka. Unfortunately, Aberbach is better at suggesting parallels than he is at exploring them:

Agonesque passivity, like that in Kafka's writing, appears to result from a prior act of submission in childhood, undermining self-respect and inhibiting self-assertion. It betrays a lifelong need to return home, either physically or psychologically, a need which can never be satisfied. It is also a cultural and social phenomenon, and a many-sided tactic, a symptom of fear or apathy, a turning inward, a form of flight.

What these generalizations have to do with Kafka—or more to the point, with Agnon—is not clear, but Aberbach's study regularly serves them up at the end of chapters.

To be sure, the *bulk* of Aberbach's attention is directed to the themes he has isolated—passivity, loneliness, childishness, triangles (Freudian and otherwise), Oedipal preoccupations, schizoid tendencies, etc.—and it is here that Aberbach's easy familiarity with the Agnon canon will be especially helpful to students with a term paper to hammer out.

As Aberbach would have it, Agnon's fiction is so much thinly disguised autobiography: in each case, his "themes" generate from his life. For example, Aberbach tells us that "Agnon wrote 'The Well of Miriam' at the time of his mother's death":

According to his sister, Rosa, Agnon was particularly close to his mother. The portraits of sick women in his writings were inspired by her. Esther Czaczkes was ill when he was a child; she would send him on errands as she was sometimes too weak to go out. She suffered from a heart ailment to which she succumbed in her early forties. During much of her son's childhood and youth, she was, apparently, a semi-invalid. Her illness forced young Czaczkes to assume greater emotional and practical responsibilities—particularly as he was the first-born—than young children usually bear. She encouraged his literary ambitions, introducing him to the classics in world literature, stimulating him to become an artist.

Not surprisingly, the next paragraph links Agnon to Lawrence and Hirshl, the

protagonist of "A Simple Tale," with the Paul Morel of *Sons and Lovers*.

What biography cannot fully explain, a book like W. R. B. Fairbairn's *Psycho-Analytic Studies of the Personality* can:

In the transitional phase, according to Fairbairn, the child has a split view of the mother—insofar as she is loved, she is "an accepted object," and insofar as she is hated, she is a "reversed object." Mature dependence involves the abdication of this dichotomous view, and immature dependence, the preservation of this attitude in later life. . . . Seen from this viewpoint, pairs of women in Agnon's work—such as Blumah and Mina, Shifra and Sonia, Shirah and Henrietta—might be treated by the hero as two sides of one person.

The result is criticism of the plodding sort. That Agnon lived a remarkably Kafkaesque life, that he was a difficult, deeply disturbed man are, no doubt, true. But what matters is the subtle fiction he left behind, and about that Aberbach delivers precious little Agnon's readers did not already know.

SANFORD PINSKER

Franklin and Marshall College



Sally Dennison. *(Alternative) Literary Publishing: Five Modern Histories*. Iowa City: U of Iowa P, 1984. 240 pp. Cloth \$12.95; pb. \$9.95

For all of the contemporary critical interest in literature as text, as artifact, and as communication, there has been surprisingly little scholarly consideration of the cause and effect of literary publication. The facts of publication have not been ignored, especially in terms of the major modernists, but often the meaning of the act of publication remains a relatively uncharted area of literary understanding. Although biographical and bibliographical critics of individual writers often have illuminated individual works, still we lack an overview of literary publication in technological, commercial, social, and artistic terms.

The achievement of Sally Dennison's *(Alternative) Literary Publishing* exists precisely in its exploration of one major branch of literary publication in the twentieth century—the small press as the handmaiden of great writing. Her (alternative) publishing includes self-publication, little magazines, readings, university presses, etc. Dennison's accomplishment lies not in the study of the small press phenomenon or even of her five featured writers—Eliot, Woolf, Joyce, Nin, Nabokov. Rather, it is found in the common themes that emerge from the comparison and contrast of her individuals. Her yoking of these disparate talents in terms of the mechanics of their publication (their act of making public their art) proves fascinating for the student of modern literature. Dennison does more than retell biography or reiterate bibliography; she links lives and books, causes and effects, society and literature. Her thesis, muted by subtle and careful exemplification, seems to be that the circumstances of publication both shape and direct literary